File No: STD/1614

November 2017

NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

PUBLIC REPORT

Acetic acid, 2-(2-benzothiazolylthio)-

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Energy.

This Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: Postal Address: TEL: FAX: Website: Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, SURRY HILLS NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA. GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA. + 61 2 8577 8800 + 61 2 8577 8888 www.nicnas.gov.au

Director NICNAS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SUMMARY | |
|--|----------|
| CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS | |
| ASSESSMENT DETAILS | 6 |
| 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS | 6 |
| 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL | 6 |
| 3. COMPOSITION | 6 |
| 4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES | 6 |
| 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION | 7 |
| 6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS | 8 |
| 6.1. Exposure Assessment | 8 |
| 6.1.1. Occupational Exposure | 8 |
| 6.1.2. Public Exposure | 9 |
| 6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment | 9 |
| 6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation | 10 |
| 6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety | 10 |
| 6.3.2. Public Health | 11 |
| 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS | 11 |
| 7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment | 11 |
| 7.1.1. Environmental Exposure | 11 |
| 7.1.2. Environmental Fate | 12 |
| 7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) | 12 |
| 7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment | 12 |
| 7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration | 13 |
| 7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment | |
| APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES | 14 |
| APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS | 16 |
| B.1. Acute toxicity – oral | 16 |
| B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal | 16 |
| B.3. Irritation – skin | |
| B.4. Irritation – eve | |
| B.5. Skin sensitisation | |
| B.6. Repeat dose oral toxicity – 14-day dose range finding study. | |
| B 7 Repeat dose oral toxicity – 28-day (with recovery) | 20 |
| B.8 Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation | 21 |
| B 9 Genotoxicity – <i>in vitro</i> mammalian chromosome aberration | 22 |
| B 10 Mutagenicity – <i>in vitro</i> mammalian cell gene mutation | 22 |
| B 11 Toxicity to reproduction/development – screening test | 23 |
| APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS | 25 |
| C1 Environmental Fate | 25 |
| C 1 1 Ready biodegradability | 25 |
| C 2 Ecotoxicological Investigations | 25 |
| C 2 1 Acute toxicity to fish | 25 |
| C_{22} Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates | 25 |
| C 2 3 Algal growth inhibition test | 20 26 |
| C 2.4 Inhibition of microbial activity | 20 |
| RIRI IOGR A PHV | 27 |
| DIDELO OIG II 111 | 20 |

SUMMARY

The following details will be published in the NICNAS Chemical Gazette:

| ASSESSMENT REFERENCE | APPLICANT(S) | CHEMICAL OR TRADE NAME | HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL | INTRODUCTION VOLUME | USE |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|
| STD/1614 | Clariant (Australia) Pty Ltd | Acetic acid, 2-(2- benzothiazolylthio)- | Yes | ≤ 6 tonnes per annum | Component of coolants and metalworking fluid |

CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

Hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified chemical is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia. The recommended hazard classification is presented in the following table.

| Hazard classification | Hazard statement |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Acute Toxicity (Oral) Category 4 | H302 – Harmful if swallowed |
| Serious Eye Damage/Eye Irritation Category 1 | H318 – Causes serious eye damage |

The environmental hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS) is presented below. Environmental classification under the GHS is not mandated in Australia and carries no legal status but is presented for information purposes.

| Hazard classification | Hazard statement |
|-----------------------|--|
| Acute Category 3 | H402 – Harmful to aquatic life |
| Chronic Category 3 | H412 – Harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects |

Human health risk assessment

Provided that the recommended controls are being adhered to, under the conditions of the occupational settings described, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the health of workers.

When used in the proposed manner, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

Environmental risk assessment

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio and the reported use pattern, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

Recommendations

REGULATORY CONTROLS

Hazard Classification and Labelling

- The notified chemical should be classified as follows:
 - Acute Toxicity (Oral) Category 4: H302 Harmful if swallowed
 - Serious Eye Damage/Eye Irritation Category 1: H318 Causes serious eye damage

The above should be used for products/mixtures containing the notified chemical, if applicable, based on the concentration of the notified chemical present and the intended use/exposure scenario.

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following engineering controls to minimise occupational exposure to the notified chemical during reformulation:
 - Enclosed and automated system
 - Fume extractor for weighing
 - Local exhaust ventilation
- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified chemical:
 - Avoid contact with eyes
 - Avoid inhalation of any dust or aerosol
- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified chemical:
 - Protective clothing
 - Impervious gloves
 - Eye protection
 - Respiratory protection if dusts or aerosols are expected

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the SDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)* as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation should be in operation.

Disposal

• Where reuse or recycling are not appropriate, dispose of the notified chemical in an environmentally sound manner in accordance with relevant Commonwealth, state, territory and local government legislation.

Storage

• The handling and storage of the notified chemical should be in accordance with the Safe Work Australia Code of Practice for *Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace* (SWA, 2012) or relevant State or Territory Code of Practice.

Emergency procedures

• Spills or accidental release of the notified chemical should be handled by physical containment, collection and subsequent safe disposal.

Transport and Packaging

• Due to the serious eye damage properties of the notified chemical, the notifier should consider their obligations under the Australian Dangerous Goods Code (NTC 2017) when transporting the neat form of the notified chemical.

Regulatory Obligations

Secondary Notification

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified chemical is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(1) of the Act; if
 - the use concentration of the chemical in coolant products exceeds 1%;
 - further information has become available to the person as to reproduction/developmental toxicity effects of the chemical;

or

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
 - the function or use of the chemical has changed from a component of coolants and metalworking fluid, or is likely to change significantly;
 - the amount of chemical being introduced has increased, or is likely to increase, significantly;
 - the chemical has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
 - additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the chemical on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

Safety Data Sheet

The SDS of the products containing the notified chemical provided by the notifier was reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the SDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

ASSESSMENT DETAILS

1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT Clariant (Australia) Pty Ltd (ABN: 30 069 435 552) Level 3, Olympus Building, 3 Acacia Place 296–324 Ferntree Gully Road NOTTING HILL VIC 3168

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY Standard: Chemical other than polymer (more than 1 tonne per year)

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT) Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: other names, spectral data, degree of purity, use details, and import volume.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT) Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed for vapour pressure, adsorption/desorption, dissociation constant, autoignition temperature and acute inhalation toxicity.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S) None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES USA, China, Canada, EU, Japan, Philippines, South Korea

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

CHEMICAL NAME Acetic acid, 2-(2-benzothiazolylthio)-

MARKETING NAME PCA 100

CAS NUMBER 6295-57-4

 $\begin{array}{l} Molecular \ Formula \\ C_9H_7NO_2S_2 \end{array}$

STRUCTURAL FORMULA

O

MOLECULAR WEIGHT 225.287 Da

3. COMPOSITION

Degree of Purity > 97%

4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

APPEARANCE AT 20 °C AND 101.3 kPa: Yellow powder

| Property | Value | Data Source/Justification |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Melting Point | 156 – 158 °C | Measured |
| Boiling Point | Not determinable | Decomposed at $\geq 174 ^{\circ}\text{C}$ |
| Density | 1,580 kg/m ³ at 27 °C | Measured |
| Vapour Pressure | $<$ 1 \times 10 ⁻⁶ kPa at 20 °C | Calculated |
| Water Solubility | 133 mg/L at 20 °C | Measured |
| n-Octanol Solubility | 12.6 g/L at 20 °C | Measured |
| Hydrolysis as a Function of pH | Hydrolytically stable at pH 4,7 and 9 | Measured |
| Partition Coefficient | $\log P_{ow} = 1.60$ at 23 °C | Measured |
| (n-octanol/water) | | |
| Surface Tension | 70.6 ± 0.9 mN/m at 20 °C | Measured |
| Adsorption/Desorption | $\log K_{oc} = 1.04$ | Estimated from log Pow using |
| 1 1 | C | KOCWIN v2.0 |
| Dissociation Constant | pKa (acid) = 3.7 ± 0.4 | Calculated by the notifier using |
| | pKa (base) = 1.8 ± 0.8 | ACD/I-Lab Web service |
| Particle Size | Inhalable fraction (< 100 μm): 27.2% | Measured |
| | Respirable fraction ($< 10 \mu m$): 15.5% | |
| | D10: 4.8 µm | |
| | D50: 379.1 um | |
| | D90: 1075.7 um | |
| Flash Point | Not determined | Not expected to form flammable |
| | | vapour |
| Flammability (Solids) | Not a highly flammable solid | Measured |
| Autoignition Temperature | Not determined | Not expected to undergo |
| 0 1 | | autoignition |
| Explosive Properties | Not determined | Contains no functional groups |
| | | that would imply explosive |
| | | properties |
| Oxidising Properties | Not determined | Contains no functional groups |
| | | that would imply oxidative |
| | | properties |

DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests on physical and chemical properties, refer to Appendix A.

Reactivity

The notified chemical is expected to be stable under normal conditions of use.

Physical hazard classification

Based on the submitted physico-chemical data depicted in the above table, the notified chemical is not recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia.

5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The notified chemical will not be manufactured in Australia. It will be imported as a component in finished engine coolant at < 1% concentration. In the future, the neat notified chemical will be imported for local reformulation into coolants and metalworking products. The metalworking products will contain < 25% concentration of the notified chemical.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Tonnes | 3 - 6 | 3 - 6 | 3 - 6 | 3 - 6 | 3 - 6 |

PORT OF ENTRY Melbourne and Sydney

IDENTITY OF RECIPIENT Clariant (Australia) Pty Ltd

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The finished coolants containing the notified chemical at < 1% concentration will be imported in 1 L, 5 L, 20 L, 200 L and 1,000 L containers, stored in the customer's warehouses and distributed to customer sites or retail outlets by railway or road.

In the future, the neat notified chemical will be imported in 20 kg plastic bags within drums or cardboard boxes, stored at the notifier's warehouse and distributed to reformulation sites by railway or road.

USE

The notified chemical will be used as a component of engine coolants at < 1% concentration and in metalworking products at < 25% concentration. The metalworking products will be diluted in metalworking baths to a final use concentration of < 5%.

OPERATION DESCRIPTION

The finished coolant products containing the notified chemical (at < 1% concentration) will be manually added into motor vehicle radiators. Spent coolant will be drained and replaced with fresh coolant. The finished coolant products will be mainly used by aftermarket vehicle service centres or by do-it-yourself (DIY) users.

In the future, the notified chemical may be imported for reformulation in Australia. At reformulation sites, process workers will receive the notified chemical in neat powder form. The notified chemical will be weighed within a fume extraction hood to a sealed transfer container. The notified chemical will then be manually added into a blending tank. The blended products, containing the notified chemical at < 25% concentration for metalworking products and < 1% concentration for finished coolant, will be assayed by quality assurance staff and then pumped into an automated and enclosed filling machine for packaging into various containers in the size ranging from 1 L to 1,000 L.

For metalworking, the products containing the notified chemical will be diluted in metalworking baths before final application. The final fluid, containing the notified chemical at < 5% concentration, will be recirculated during use and refilled by an automatic dosing pump when required. Used metalworking fluid in the baths will be replaced with new fluid every 12 months and the used fluid will be disposed of by waste disposal contractors.

6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

6.1. Exposure Assessment

6.1.1. Occupational Exposure

CATEGORY OF WORKERS

| Category of Worker | Exposure Duration (hours/day) | Exposure Frequency (days/year) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Transport and storage workers | 1-2 | 24 |
| Reformulation workers | 8 | 50 |
| Retail workers | 1-2 | 250 |
| Motor mechanics | 8 | 250 |
| Metalworking machine operators | 8 | 250 |

EXPOSURE DETAILS

Transport and storage workers may come into contact with the notified chemical in neat form or as a component of finished products, only in the event of accidental rupture of containers.

During reformulation, dermal, ocular and inhalation exposure to the notified chemical (up to 100% neat form) may occur during the weighing and blending. The notified chemical will be weighed under a fume extractor and blending will be carried out in a close mixing tank with local exhaust ventilation. Personal protective equipment (PPE) such as coverall, respirators, gloves and eye protection will be worn during these activities. As the blending and packaging processes will occur in automated and enclosed systems, exposure to the notified chemical during the reformulation is expected to be limited.

Retail workers handling coolant products containing the notified chemical may come into contact with the notified chemical at < 1% concentration only in the unlikely event of an accident where the packaging is damaged and the product is spilt. During any necessary clean-up, workers are expected to wear appropriate PPE including gloves, overalls and eye protection.

Motor mechanics will handle the finished coolant products containing the notified chemical during refilling and draining of radiators. Exposure to the chemical at < 1% concentration via the dermal route may occur. Mechanics are expected to wear appropriate PPE including gloves, overalls and eye protection during handling and to be trained to handle the coolant products containing the notified chemical in a safe manner to minimise potential for exposure.

Metalworking machine operators may have the potential to be exposed to the notified chemical at < 25% concentration through dermal, ocular or inhalation routes during fluid preparation and at the time of metalworking operations. Exposure is expected to be limited due to the engineering controls in place and the use of PPE, such as gloves, overall, eye protection and, when necessary, respiratory protection.

6.1.2. Public Exposure

The neat form of the notified chemical and metalworking products containing the chemical will not be available to the general public. However, members of the general public may handle coolant products containing the notified chemical at 1% concentration for DIY use. Dermal and incidental ocular exposure to the chemical is possible during operations. DIY activities with the coolant products are expected to occur infrequently.

6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment

The results from toxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical are summarised in the following table. For full details of the studies, refer to Appendix B.

| Endpoint | Result and Assessment Conclusion |
|--|--|
| Rat, acute oral toxicity | LD50 = 1,580 mg/kg bw; harmful |
| Rat, acute dermal toxicity | LD50 > 2,000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity |
| Rabbit, skin irritation | non-irritating |
| Rabbit, eye irritation | severely irritating |
| Guinea pig, skin sensitisation – maximisation test | no evidence of sensitisation |
| Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 28-days | NOAEL > 100 mg/kg bw/day |
| Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation | non mutagenic |
| Mutagenicity – in vitro mammalian cell gene mutation | non mutagenic |
| Genotoxicity – in vitro mammalian chromosome | non genotoxic |
| aberration | |
| Rat, reproductive and developmental toxicity | NOAEL (systemic) = 62.5 mg/kg bw/day (male); |
| | 125 mg/kg bw/day (female) |
| | NOAEL (reproductive) = 31.25 mg/kg bw/day |
| | (female) |

Toxicokinetics, metabolism and distribution

No information on toxicokinetics of the notified chemical was provided. The notified chemical has a low molecular weight (< 500 Da). It has a water solubility of 133 mg/L at 20 °C and a log P_{ow} of 1.60. Therefore absorption of the notified chemical across biological membranes may occur.

Acute toxicity

The notified chemical was determined to be harmful to rats in an acute oral toxicity study (LD50 = 1,580 mg/kg bw) with dose levels from 1,000 mg/kg bw causing mortality. It was found to be of low acute toxicity to rats via the dermal route.

No acute inhalation toxicity data was provided.

Irritation and sensitisation

The notified chemical was determined to be severely irritating to the eyes when tested in rabbits, causing irreversible effects within 14 days of observation to conjunctiva, cornea and iris, including complete corneal opacity in one test animal. However, the notified chemical was found to be non-irritating to the skin of rabbits in a skin irritation study.

The notified chemical was not considered to be a skin sensitiser in a guinea pig skin sensitisation test.

Repeated dose toxicity

Based on a dose range finding study, the maximum tolerable dose (MTD) was considered by the study authors to be 100 mg/kg bw/day for repeated dose toxicity by the oral route.

In a subsequent 28-day repeated dose oral toxicity study, rats were treated with the notified chemical at 5, 15, 40 and 100 mg/kg bw/day. Toxicology effects, including clinical signs of toxicity, haematology, coagulation, clinical chemistry, urinalysis parameters, main organ effects and sperm parameters, were examined. There were no unscheduled deaths for animals in all dose groups and no adverse effects were reported by the study authors.

The No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) was established for the notified chemical in the study as 100 mg/kg bw/day in rats based on the highest dose level tested. However, animals treated at 40 and 100 mg/kg bw/day showed statistically significant increase in kidney and adrenal weights compared to the vehicle control group.

Mutagenicity/Genotoxicity

The notified chemical was not mutagenic in a bacterial reverse mutation test and an *in vitro* mammalian cell gene mutation test. The notified chemical was not clastogenic in an *in vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration test.

Toxicity for reproduction and development

In a reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test, no treatment-related adverse effects for systemic toxicity were observed in females up to 125 mg/kg bw/day. The males treated at 125 mg/kg bw/day showed some changes in the haematology parameters and organ weights, including decreased reticulocyte count and globulin concentration, decreased body weight and thymus weight, and increased adrenal weight and kidney weight. These changes were considered as treatment-related changes.

No changes in the reproductive organs of males and females were observed in the study.

The NOAEL for female reproductive toxicity was reported by the study authors to be 62.5 mg/kg bw day. However, for females treated at 125 mg/kg bw day, increased pre-implantation loss was observed, which resulted in reduced implantation index. Increased post-implantation loss was observed at the 62.5 and 125 mg/kg bw/day dose groups, which resulted in a reduced mean litter size. Survival rate of the pups was also reduced due to cannibalism by the dam observed in all dosed groups.

These observations suggest that there is likely a potential for the notified chemical to cause certain adverse reproductive effects in females.

Health hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified chemical is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia. The recommended hazard classification is presented in the following table.

| Hazard classification | Hazard statement |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Acute Toxicity (Oral) Category 4 | H302 – Harmful if swallowed |
| Serious Eye Damage/Eye Irritation Category 1 | H318 – Causes serious eye damage |

Due to the serious eye damage properties of the notified chemical, the notifier should consider their obligations under the Australian Dangerous Goods Code (NTC 2017) when transporting the neat form of the notified chemical.

6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation

6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety

The notified chemical is expected to be harmful following oral exposure and to be a severe eye irritant causing irreversible serious eye damage. It may also have potential to cause adverse reproductive effects in females.

Adverse effects from inhalation cannot be ruled out, due to lack of data on the notified chemical. However, as the vapour pressure of the chemical is expected to be low, inhalation exposure may only occur if dusts or aerosols are formed.

Reformulation workers may be exposed to the imported notified chemical up to 100% concentration (neat form) during reformulation operations. Other workers may come into contact with the notified chemical at concentration ranging from < 25% to < 1%. The use of PPE and engineering controls during operations is expected to minimise potential for exposure and therefore reduce the risk of adverse health effects including eye irritation.

Provided that control measures are in place to minimise worker exposure to the notified chemical, the risk to the health of workers from use of the notified chemical is not considered to be unreasonable.

6.3.2. Public Health

The public may come into contact with the notified chemical at < 1% concentration when the coolant products containing the notified chemical are used. Eye irritation effects are not expected at this relatively low final use concentration. Consumer exposure is expected to be limited via dermal route. Repeated daily use of the products is unlikely.

When used in the proposed manner, the risk to public health associated with the use of the notified chemical in coolants at < 1% concentration is not considered to be unreasonable.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

7.1.1. Environmental Exposure

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The notified chemical will be imported as a component in finished engine coolants at < 1% concentration. In the future, the neat notified chemical will be imported for local reformulation into coolants and metalworking products. The reformulation process will involve blending operation that will occur in an enclosed system, followed by automated filling of the finished products into end-use containers. Blending equipment will be cleaned with solvents. The waste liquids containing the notified chemical are expected to be disposed of in accordance with local government regulations. Accidental spills of the notified chemical during reformulation, transport or storage are expected to be adsorbed onto a suitable material and disposal of in accordance with local regulations.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

Engine Coolant

Engine coolant products containing < 1% of the notified chemical will primarily be used by commercial automotive servicing workshops, or by DIY consumers. DIY users are advised to collect the spent coolants and take it to automotive workshops for disposal. In the automotive servicing workshops, spent engine coolants, containing mostly ethylene glycol, will be collected and recycled through liquid waste treatment facilities using filtration, ion exchange or distillation process. The notifier indicates that the latter is the most prevalent and that the notified chemical is expected to remain in the bottoms/residues and is likely to decompose as a result of the high temperatures. Wastes containing residual amounts of the notified chemical from the above processes are expected to be disposed of to landfill.

Metalworking Fluids

For metalworking fluids, the products containing the notified chemical will be diluted in metalworking baths before final application. The final fluids, containing the notified chemical at < 5% concentration, will be recirculated during use and refilled by an automatic dosing pump when required. In large industrial facilities, the used metalworking fluids in the baths will be replaced with new fluids every 12 months, and the spent fluids will be collected and disposed of by waste disposal contractors. At the waste disposal facilities, the spent metalworking fluids containing the notified chemical will be subjected to oil/water separation procedures. Based on its moderate water solubility and predicted log P_{ow} = 1.6, the notified chemical is expected to present in both organic and aqueous phases. After being separated, the aqueous component will be treated at onsite wastewater treatment plants before being released to sewage systems, and the oily sludge will be disposed to landfill in

accordance with local regulations. It is estimated by the notifier that up to 25% of the import volume of the notified chemical may be released directly to sewers as used metalworking fluids from smaller factories.

Accidental spills of the notified chemical during uses are expected to be adsorbed onto a suitable material and disposal of in accordance with local regulations.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

The empty containers containing small amount of residue notified chemical will be disposed of to landfill in accordant with local regulations.

7.1.2. Environmental Fate

Following its uses, the main release of the notified chemical would be from direct discharge of used metalworking fluids to sewers from small factories, and possibly from discharge of treated effluent from onsite wastewater treatment plants at disposal facilities. A small fraction of the engine coolants used by DIY users may also be incorrectly disposed of to the sewers, drains, or the ground.

The biodegradability study conducted on the notified chemical shows that it is not readily biodegradable (0-3% degradation in 28 days). For details of the biodegradability study, please refer to Appendix C. The notified chemical released to sewers is expected to be degraded slowly through sewage treatment plants (STPs). The waste sludge from STPs is expected to be disposed of to landfill in accordance with local regulations. Because of its predicted low log P_{ow} (1.6), the notified chemical is not expected to bioaccumulate. The notified chemical in water, sludge and landfill is expected to eventually degrade through biotic and abiotic processes to form water and oxides of carbon, nitrogen and sulphur.

7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC) has been calculated to assume the worst case scenario with 100% release of the notified chemical into sewer systems nationwide over 260 working days per annum. It is also assumed under the worst-case scenario that there is no removal of the notified chemical during sewage treatment processes.

| Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) for the Aquatic Compartment | | |
|---|--------|--------------|
| Total Annual Import/Manufactured Volume | 6,000 | kg/year |
| Proportion expected to be released to sewer | 100% | |
| Annual quantity of chemical released to sewer | 6,000 | kg/year |
| Days per year where release occurs | 260 | days/year |
| Daily chemical release: | 23 | kg/day |
| Water use | 200 | L/person/day |
| Population of Australia (Millions) | 24.386 | million |
| Removal within STP | 0% | |
| Daily effluent production: | 4,877 | ML |
| Dilution Factor - River | 1.0 | |
| Dilution Factor - Ocean | 10.0 | |
| PEC - River: | 4.73 | µg/L |
| PEC - Ocean: | 0.47 | μg/L |

STP effluent re-use for irrigation occurs throughout Australia. The agricultural irrigation application rate is assumed to be 1,000 L/m²/year (10 ML/ha/year). The notified chemical in this volume is assumed to infiltrate and accumulate in the top 10 cm of soil (density 1,500 kg/m³). Using these assumptions, irrigation with a concentration of 4.73 μ g/L may potentially result in a soil concentration of approximately 31.5 μ g/kg. Assuming accumulation of the notified chemical in soil for 5 and 10 years under repeated irrigation, the concentration of the notified chemical in the applied soil in 5 and 10 years may be approximately 157.7 μ g/kg and 315 μ g/kg, respectively.

7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment

The results from the ecotoxicological investigation conducted on the notified chemical are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix C.

| Endpoint | Result | Assessment Conclusion |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Fish Toxicity | 96 h EC50 = 14.5 mg/L | Harmful to fish |
| Daphnia Toxicity | 48 h EC50 > 100 mg/L | Not harmful to aquatic invertebrates |
| Algal Toxicity | 72 h EC50 = 34.3 mg/L | Harmful to alga |
| Inhibition of Bacterial Respiration | 3 h EC50 > 1,000 mg/L | Not inhibit microbial activity |

Under the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS), the notified chemical is expected to be harmful to fish and alga. Therefore, the notified chemical is formally classified as "Acute Category 3; Harmful to aquatic life" under the GHS. Based on the acute toxicity and lack of readily biodegradation, the notified chemical is formally classified as "Chronic Category 3; Harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects" under the GHS (United Nations, 2009).

7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration

The predicted no-effects concentration (PNEC) has been calculated based on the most sensitive endpoint for fish as shown in the table below. An assessment factor of 100 was used given the acute endpoint for three trophic levels is available.

| Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) for the Aquatic Compartment | | |
|--|------|------|
| Fish 96 h EC50 | 14.5 | mg/L |
| Assessment Factor | 100 | |
| Mitigation Factor | 1 | |
| PNEC | 145 | μg/L |

7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment

Based on the above predicted PEC and PNEC, the following Risk Quotient (Q = PEC/PNEC) has been calculated.

| Risk Assessment | PEC µg/L | PNEC µg/L | Q |
|-----------------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Q - River | 4.73 | 145 | 0.033 |
| Q - Ocean | 0.47 | 145 | 0.003 |

The conservative risk quotient for discharge of effluents containing the notified chemical to the aquatic environment indicates that the notified chemical is unlikely to reach ecotoxicologically significant concentrations based on its annual importation quantity. Based on the predicted log P_{ow} , the notified chemical is not expected to be bioaccumulative. Therefore, on the basis of the predicted PEC/PNEC ratio, the maximum annual importation volume, and the assessed use pattern as a component of engine coolants and metalworking fluids, the notified chemical is not expected to pose an unreasonable risk to the aquatic environment.

APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

| Melting Point | 156 – 158 °C |
|--|---|
| Method Remarks Test Facility | OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) Clariant (2014a) |
| Boiling Point | Not determinable; decomposed at \geq 174 °C |
| Method Remarks Test Facility | OECD TG 103 Boiling Point Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC); decomposed before reaching a boiling point Clariant (2014b) |
| Density | 1,580 kg/m ³ at 27 °C |
| Method Remarks Test Facility | OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids Air comparison pycnometer method Clariant (2014c) |
| Vapour Pressure | $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$ kPa at 20 °C |
| Method Remarks Test Facility | Calculated using MPBPWin v1.43, based on chemical structure The calculation algorithm is derived from a correlation of chemical structures with experimental vapour pressure. The calculated values were 2.5×10^{-5} Pa for solid and 7.6×10^{-4} Pa for subcooled liquid. The final result was quoted as < 0.001 Pa. Clariant (2014d) |
| Water Solubility | 133 mg/L at 20 °C |
| Method Remarks Test Facility | OECD TG 105 Water Solubility Flask Method Clariant (2014e) |
| Fat (or n-octanol |) Solubility 12.6 g/L at 20 °C |
| Method Remarks Test Facility | OECD TG 105 Water Solubility (adapted for n-octanol) Flask Method Clariant (2014f) |
| Hydrolysis as a F | unction of pH |
| Method | OECD TG 111 Hydrolysis as a Function of pH |
| рН | $\frac{T(^{\circ}C)}{25}$ |
| | 25 > 1 25 > 1 25 > 1 |
| Remarks Test Facility | The notified chemical is considered hydrolytically stable. Clariant (2015) |
| Partition Coeffic (n-octanol/water) | log $P_{ow} = 1.60$ at 23 °C |
| Method Remarks Test Facility | OECD TG 107 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) Flask Method Clariant (2014g) |

Surface Tension

| Method | OECD TG 115 Surface Tension of Aqueous Solutions |
|---------------|--|
| Remarks | Concentration: 90% of saturation concentration |
| Test Facility | Clariant (2014h) |

Particle Size

D10: 4.8 μm D50: 379.1 μm D90: 1075.7 μm

 70.6 ± 0.9 mN/m at 20 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

Method ISO 13320 (2009): Particle size analysis – Laser diffraction methods

| Range (µm) | Mass (%) |
|------------|----------|
| < 1 | < 0.1 |
| < 4 | 8.1 |
| < 10 | 15.5 |
| < 100 | 27.2 |

| Remarks | Malvern Mastersizer 2000 with Scirocco 2000 dispersing unit (Software version 5.54) |
|---------------|---|
| Test Facility | Clariant (2014i) |

Flammability (Solids)

Not a highly flammable solid

| Method | EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.10 Flammability (Solids). |
|---------------|--|
| Remarks | Only preliminary test was conducted and the notified chemical could not be ignited. Main |
| | test was considered by the study authors to be unnecessary. |
| Test Facility | Siemens AG (2014) |

APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

B.1. Acute toxicity – oral

| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
|------------------|---|
| Method | OECD TG 401 Acute Oral Toxicity |
| | EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 B.1 Acute Toxicity (Oral) |
| Species/Strain | Rat/Sprague-Dawley |
| Vehicle | 0.5% Methylcellulose (400 cPs) |
| Remarks - Method | No GLP Certificate |
| | No significant protocol deviations |

RESULTS

| Group | Number and Sex of Animals | Dose (mg/kg bw) | Mortality |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 500 | 0/10 |
| 2 | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 1,000 | 3/10 |
| 3 | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 1,500 | 3/10 |
| 4 | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 2,000 | 6/10 |
| 5 | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 2,500 | 8/10 |
| 6 | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 3,000 | 10/10 |
| LD50 Signs of Toxicity | 1,580 mg/kg b All animals be chemical. | ow ecame hypoactive within 24 hours | after receiving the notified |
| | Mortality of administering 3,000 mg/kg b highest tested days of treatm | some animals occurred between the notified chemical at dose ow. Several animals became cache dose level of 3,000 mg/kg bw, nent. | 2 hours to 6 days after levels between 1,000 to ectic prior to death. At the all animals died within 2 |
| Effects in Organs | No mortalities Necropsy of hyperaemia, e stomach. No abnormali | s occurred at the lowest tested dose the animals that died during the prosion and/or haemorrhagic ulcera- ties were found in the surviving an | e level of 500 mg/kg bw. the study period revealed ation of the mucosa in the |
| Kennarks - Kesun | | ties were found in the surviving an | imais. |
| CONCLUSION | The notified c | hemical is harmful via the oral rou | te. |
| TEST FACILITY | Clariant (1985 | 5a) | |
| B.2. Acute toxicit | y – dermal | | |
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chem | nical | |
| METHOD Species/Strain Vehicle Type of dressing Remarks - Metho | OECD TG 40 Rat/Wistar (H None. The not Semi-occlusiv d GLP Certifica No significant | 2 Acute Dermal Toxicity sd Han) tified chemical was directly applied re te t protocol deviations | d. |

RESULTS

| Group | Number and Sex of Animals | Dose (mg/kg bw) | Mortality |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1 | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 2,000 | 0/10 |

LD50

> 2,000 mg/kg bw

| Signs of Toxicity - Local Signs of Toxicity - Systemic Effects in Organs Remarks - Results | No abnormalities were noted. No abnormalities were noted. None The animals showed expected body weight gain over the observation period. |
|--|--|
| CONCLUSION | The notified chemical is of low acute toxicity via the dermal route. |
| TEST FACILITY | Advinus (2014) |
| B.3. Irritation – skin | |
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD Species/Strain Number of Animals Vehicle Observation Period Type of Dressing Remarks - Method | OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion Rabbit/New Zealand White 6 None. The notified chemical was directly applied. 72 hours Occlusive No GLP Certificate No significant protocol deviations |
| RESULTS | No effects on the skin were noted. |
| Remarks - Results | No signs of irritation were observed. |
| CONCLUSION | The notified chemical is non-irritating to the skin. |
| TEST FACILITY | Clariant (1985b) |
| B.4. Irritation – eye | |
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD Species/Strain Number of Animals Observation Period Remarks - Method | OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion. Rabbit/New Zealand White 6 14 days No GLP Certificate. Observation period was reduced to 14 days. |

RESULTS

| Lesion | | | Mean S | core* | | | Maximum Value | Maximum Duration of Any Effect | Maximum Value at End of Observation Period |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-------|---|-----|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| Conjunctiva: redness | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.3 | 3 | Not reversible within 14 days | 2 |
| Conjunctiva: chemosis | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | < 96 hours | 0 |
| Corneal opacity | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 4 | Not reversible within 14 days | 4 |
| Iridial inflammation | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | Not reversible within 14 days | 1 |

* Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animals.

Remarks - Results

No mortality or signs of systemic toxicity was observed.

| | Hyperaemia of the conjunctiva was observed in all animals. This effect was not reversible within 14 days for 2 animals. |
|--|---|
| | Chemosis was observed in all animals within 24 hours after application, and this effect was reversible within 96 hours. |
| | Diffuse corneal opacity areas were observed in all animals within 72 hours after application. This effect was reversible in 4 animals within 14 days, but progressed in the remaining 2 animals up to complete opacity in one case. |
| | Hyperaemia of the iris was observed in all animals within 72 hours after application. This effect was reversible in 3 animals and not reversible in 2 animals within 14 days. The iris could not be evaluated for the one remaining animal due to the complete opacity of the cornea. |
| CONCLUSION | The notified chemical is severely irritating to the eye. |
| TEST FACILITY | Clariant (1985c) |
| B.5. Skin sensitisation | |
| | |
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD | Notified chemical OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test EC Directive 440/2008 B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test |
| TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD Species/Strain PRELIMINARY STUDY | Notified chemical OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test EC Directive 440/2008 B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: intradermal: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) topical: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) |
| TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD Species/Strain PRELIMINARY STUDY MAIN STUDY | Notified chemical OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test EC Directive 440/2008 B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: intradermal: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) topical: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) |
| TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD Species/Strain PRELIMINARY STUDY MAIN STUDY Number of Animals | Notified chemical OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test EC Directive 440/2008 B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: intradermal: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) topical: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) Test Group: 10 Control Group: 5 |
| TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD Species/Strain PRELIMINARY STUDY MAIN STUDY Number of Animals Vehicle Desitive control | Notified chemical OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test EC Directive 440/2008 B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: intradermal: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) topical: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) Test Group: 10 Control Group: 5 Polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400) Not conducted in perullal with the test substance |
| TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD Species/Strain PRELIMINARY STUDY MAIN STUDY Number of Animals Vehicle Positive control INDUCTION PHASE | Notified chemical OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test EC Directive 440/2008 B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: intradermal: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) topical: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) Test Group: 10 Control Group: 5 Polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400) Not conducted in parallel with the test substance Induction Concentration: intradermal: 2% topical: 50% |
| TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD Species/Strain PRELIMINARY STUDY MAIN STUDY Number of Animals Vehicle Positive control INDUCTION PHASE Signs of Irritation | Notified chemical OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test EC Directive 440/2008 B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: intradermal: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) topical: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) Test Group: 10 Control Group: 5 Polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400) Not conducted in parallel with the test substance Induction Concentration: intradermal: 2% topical: 50% Tested in a preliminary irritation test |
| TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD Species/Strain PRELIMINARY STUDY MAIN STUDY Number of Animals Vehicle Positive control INDUCTION PHASE Signs of Irritation CHALLENGE PHASE | Notified chemical OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test EC Directive 440/2008 B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: intradermal: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) topical: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) Test Group: 10 Control Group: 5 Polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400) Not conducted in parallel with the test substance Induction Concentration: intradermal: 2% topical: 50% Tested in a preliminary irritation test topical: 50% |
| TEST SUBSTANCE METHOD Species/Strain PRELIMINARY STUDY MAIN STUDY Number of Animals Vehicle Positive control INDUCTION PHASE Signs of Irritation CHALLENGE PHASE Remarks - Method | Notified chemical OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test EC Directive 440/2008 B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Guinea-Pig Maximization Test Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: intradermal: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) topical: 50% (highest concentration evaluated) Test Group: 10 Control Group: 5 Polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400) Not conducted in parallel with the test substance Induction Concentration: intradermal: 2% topical: 50% Tested in a preliminary irritation test topical: 50% No GLP Certificate No significant protocol deviations |

The test sites were pre-treated with 10% so dium lauryl sulphate before topical induction.

RESULTS

| Animal | Challenge Concentration | Number of Animals Showing Ski | in Reactions after Challenge |
|---------------|---|--|--|
| | | 24 h | 48 h |
| Test Group | 50% | 0/10 | 0/10 |
| Control Group | 50% | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| Remarks - Res | sults In the prel intradermal and not by control anir | iminary irritation test, signs of n injection. These effects were cons the notified chemical. This was co nals which only received the vehicl | ecrosis were observed after sidered to be caused by PEG nfirmed by comparison with e. |
| | No signs of | f irritation were observed after top systemic toxicity were observed in | ical induction. No mortality the animals used in the main |

| | study. The animals showed expected body weight gain over the observation period. |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Conclusion | There was no evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified chemical under the conditions of the test. |
| TEST FACILITY | WIL (2014) |
| B.6. Repeat dose oral toxicit | y – 14-day dose range finding study |
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| Method | Dose range finding study for subsequent OECD TG 407 Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents |
| Species/Strain | Rat/Wistar (Hsd Han) |
| Route of Administration | Oral – gavage |
| Exposure Information | Total exposure days: 14 days |
| | Dose regimen: 7 days per week |
| Vehicle | Water with 0.5% (w/v) carboxymethyl cellulose sodium salt (NaCMC) |
| Remarks - Method | GLP Certificate |
| | The study was conducted to determine the maximum tolerable dose (MTD) of the potified chemical and to define the dose levels for |
| | subsequent 28-day repeated dose oral toxicity study (see Appendix B.7.) |

RESULTS

| Group | Number and Sex of Animals | Dose (mg/kg bw/day) | Mortality |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| control | 12 (6 M/6 F) | 0 | 0/12 |
| low dose | 12 (6 M/6 F) | 100 | 0/12 |
| mid dose | 12 (6 M/6 F) | 300 | 4/12 |
| high dose | 12 (6 M/6 F) | 1,000 | 12/12 |

Mortality and Time to Death

There were no unscheduled deaths for animals in the low dose groups.

Mortalities (4 of 12 animals) were observed in the mid dose group by Day 7. Due to their declining conditions, the remaining animals in the group were euthanized on Day 8.

All animals in the high dose group had died between Day 4 to 7.

Clinical Observations

No clinical signs were observed for animals in the low dose group.

In the mid dose group, animals exhibited clinical signs on Day 6 including hypoactivity, dehydration and piloerection. Animals in the high dose group exhibited clinical signs of hypoactivity, piloerection, dehydration, and ventral recumbent and tremors from Day 4.

Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis

Changes in haematology and clinical chemistry parameters were noted in all groups, but these changes fell within historical control data.

Effects in Organs

In all animals in mid and high dose groups, dark red fluids were found in intestinal segments of the duodenum, jejunum, ileum and cecum, and multiple brown foci were found in glandular mucosa. Thinning of non-glandular mucosa and distended stomach were also observed.

Small and non-prominent thymus was observed in males in the mid and high dose groups and females in the high dose group. Distended urinary bladders were observed in all animals in the high dose group.

Remarks - Results

The clinical observations and effects in the organs of both male and female rats treated at the mid and high doses were considered by the study authors to be treatment related adverse effects.

The study authors observed statistically significant changes in the kidney and adrenal weights in the low-dose animals, but no toxicologically relevant findings can be established.

CONCLUSION

The MTD was considered to be 100 mg/kg bw/day in this study.

| TEST FACILITY | Advinus (2015a) |
|-------------------------------|---|
| B.7. Repeat dose oral toxicit | y – 28-day (with recovery) |
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| Method | OECD TG 407 Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents |
| Species/Strain | Rat/Wistar (Hsd Han) |
| Route of Administration | Oral – gavage |
| Exposure Information | Total exposure days: 28 days |
| | Dose regimen: 7 days per week |
| | Post-exposure observation period: 14 days |
| Vehicle | Water with 0.5% (w/v) NaCMC |
| Remarks - Method | GLP Certificate |
| | No significant protocol deviations |

RESULTS

| Group | Number and Sex of Animals | Dose (mg/kg bw/day) | Mortality |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| control | 12 (6 M/6 F) | 0 | 0/12 |
| low dose | 12 (6 M/6 F) | 5 | 0/12 |
| mid-intermediate dose | 12 (6 M/6 F) | 15 | 0/12 |
| mid dose | 12 (6 M/6 F) | 40 | 0/12 |
| high dose | 12 (6 M/6 F) | 100 | 0/12 |
| control recovery | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 0 | 0/10 |
| mid dose recovery | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 40 | 0/10 |
| high dose recovery | 10 (5 M/5 F) | 100 | 0/10 |

Mortality and Time to Death

There were no unscheduled deaths for animals in all dose groups.

Clinical Observations

No clinical signs of toxicity were observed for animals in all dose groups. No test substance-related variations were observed in the mean body weights and net body weight gains in all dose groups in both sexes.

Laboratory Findings - Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis

No toxicologically significant changes in haematology and urinalysis parameters were found for animals in all dose groups.

In high dose group, decreased cholesterol levels were observed in males and increased triglycerides were observed in females. These changes were statistically significant, but were reversible after the 14 days recovery period and were not considered by the study authors to have toxicological significance.

Effects in Organs

The kidney weight of males in the high dose group and females in the mid and high dose groups were statistically significantly higher than the vehicle control, but were not associated with any microscopic change and were reversible after the recovery period.

In the high dose group, an increased relative liver weight of all animals and a decreased absolute thymus weight of females were observed. These changes were not associated with any gross or microscopic changes. As these

weight changes were reversible after the 14 days recovery period, the changes were not considered by the study authors to have toxicological relevance.

Sperm Evaluation

There were no test substance-related changes in sperm parameters, including vas deferens sperm motility, cauda epididymal sperm counts and sperm morphology.

Remarks - Results

The authors stated that there were no toxicologically relevant adverse effects observed in animals treated up to 100 mg/kg bw/day. However, animals in the 40 and 100 mg/kg bw/day dose group showed a statistically significant increase in kidney and adrenal weights compared to the control group.

CONCLUSION

The No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) was established as 100 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on the highest dose level tested with no adverse effects observed.

TEST FACILITY Advinus (2015b)

B.8. Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation

| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Method | OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse | Mutation Test |
| | EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.13/14 using Bacteria | 4 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test |
| | Pre incubation procedure | |
| Species/Strain | Salmonella typhimurium: TA1535 | , TA1537, TA98, TA100 |
| - | Escherichia coli: WP2uvrA | |
| Metabolic Activation System | S9-Mix from phenobarbital (PB)/ | 3-naphthoflavone (NF) induced rat liver |
| Concentration Range in | a) With metabolic activation: | $313 - 5,000 \mu g/plate$ |
| Main Test | b) Without metabolic activation: | $313 - 5,000 \mu g/plate$ |
| Vehicle | DMSO | |
| Remarks - Method | No GLP Certificate | |
| | No significant protocol deviations | |
| | | |

Preliminary test was designed for dose finding. Confirmation test was conducted in TA1537 only.

RESULTS

| Metabolic | Test | Substance Concentrat | ion (µg/plate) Resultin | ig in: |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Activation | Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test | Cytotoxicity in Main Test | Precipitation | Genotoxic Effect |
| Absent | | | | |
| Preliminary Test | > 5,000 | - | > 5,000 | Negative |
| Main Test | - | > 5,000 | > 5,000 | Negative |
| Confirmation Test* | - | > 5,000 | > 5,000 | Negative |
| Present | | | | |
| Preliminary Test | > 5,000 | - | > 5,000 | Negative |
| Main Test | - | > 5,000 | > 5,000 | Negative |
| Confirmation Test* | - | > 5,000 | > 5,000 | Negative |
| * Tostad in TA1527 a | n] | | | |

* Tested in TA1537 only

Remarks - Results No test material precipitate was observed at any doses tested. No significant increases in the frequency of revertant colonies were recorded for any strains. The concurrent controls gave satisfactory responses confirming the validity of the test system.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY CERI (1999)

B.9. Genotoxicity – *in vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration

| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Method | OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test |
| Species/Strain | Chinese Hamster Cells |
| Cell Type/Cell Line | Ovary cell line/CHO-K1 |
| Metabolic Activation System | S9 mix from Aroclor 1254-induced rat liver |
| Vehicle | DMSO |
| Remarks - Method | GLP certificate. |
| | A does range finding study was carried out at 20 2 200 ug/mI. The |

A dose range-finding study was carried out at $20 - 2,300 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$. The dose selection for the main experiments was based on toxicity observed in the range-finding study and solubility test.

Vehicle and positive controls (ethyl methanesulfonate and cyclophosphamide) were run concurrently with the notified chemical.

| Metabolic Activation | Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) | Exposure Period | Harvest Time |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Absent | | | |
| Test 1 | 40, 126, 400 | 3 h | 21 h |
| Test 2* | 40, 126, 400 | 21 h | 21 h |
| Present | | | |
| Test 1 | 50, 158, 500 | 3 h | 21 h |
| * Confirmatory accay | | | |

* Confirmatory assay

All cultures and positive controls were selected for metaphase analysis.

RESULTS

| Metabolic | Te. | st Substance Concentra | ation (µg/mL) Resultin | g in: |
|------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Activation | Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test | Cytotoxicity in Main Test | Precipitation | Genotoxic Effect |
| Absent | | | | |
| Test 1 | ≥ 640 | \geq 400 | $\geq 1,280*$ | Negative |
| Test 2 | - | \geq 400 | - | Negative |
| Present | | | | |
| Test 1 | ≥ 640 | \geq 500 | ≥ 1,280* | Negative |
| * 01 1' 1' | • | | | |

* Observed in preliminary test.

| Remarks - Results | The notified chemical did not cause any increase in the number of structurally aberrant metaphases including and excluding gaps at both sampling times either in the absence or presence of S9 mix. No increase in the frequency of cells containing numerical chromosome aberrations was noted. |
|-------------------|--|
| | The positive and vehicle controls gave satisfactory responses confirming the validity of the test system. |

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was not clastogenic to Chinese hamster ovary cells treated *in vitro* under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Advinus (2015c)

B.10. Mutagenicity - in vitro mammalian cell gene mutation

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

| Method | OECD TG 476 In vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation Test |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Species/Strain | Chinese Hamster Cells |
| Cell Type/Cell Line | Ovary cell line/CHO-K1 |
| Metabolic Activation System | S9 mix from Aroclor 1254-induced rat liver |
| Vehicle | DMSO |
| Remarks - Method | GLP certificate. |
| | |

A dose range-finding study was carried out at $20 - 2,300 \ \mu g/mL$. The dose selection for the main experiments was based on toxicity observed in the range-finding study and solubility test.

Vehicle and positive controls (ethyl methanesulfonate and 3methylcholanthrene) were run concurrently with the notified chemical.

| Metabolic Activation | Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) | Exposure Period | Expression Time | Selection Time |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Absent | | | | |
| Test 1 | 56, 167, 500, 1,500 | 3 h | 9 days | 10 days |
| Test 2 | 48, 150, 475, 1,500 | 3 h | 9 days | 10 days |
| Present | | | | |
| Test 1 | 57, 180, 570, 1,800 | 3 h | 9 days | 10 days |
| Test 2 | 48, 150, 475, 1,500 | 3 h | 9 days | 10 days |

All cultures were analysed for 6-thioguanine (6TG) resistant phenotype.

RESULTS

| Metabolic | Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) Resulting in: | | | | | |
|------------|--|-----------------|---------------|------------------|--|--|
| Activation | Cytotoxicity in | Cytotoxicity in | Precipitation | Genotoxic Effect | | |
| | Preliminary Test | Main Test | | | | |
| Absent | | | | | | |
| Test 1 | \geq 2,300 | > 1,500 | \geq 1,280* | Negative | | |
| Test 2 | - | > 1,500 | - | Negative | | |
| Present | | | | | | |
| Test 1 | \geq 2,300 | > 1,800 | \geq 1,280* | Negative | | |
| Test 2 | - | > 1,500 | - | Negative | | |

* Observed in preliminary test.

| Remarks - Results | The notified chemical did not lead to a statistically significant increase in the number of mutant colonies either in the presence or absence of S9 mix. The mutant frequencies at any concentration were within the range of the concurrent vehicle control and the historical negative control data. |
|---|--|
| | The increase in the frequencies of mutant colonies induced by the positive control demonstrated the sensitivity of the test method and the metabolic activity of the S9 mix |
| CONCLUSION | The notified chemical was not mutagenic to Chinese hamster ovary cells treated <i>in vitro</i> under the conditions of the test. |
| TEST FACILITY | Advinus (2015d) |
| B.11. Toxicity to reproduction/de | velopment – screening test |
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD Species/Strain Route of Administration | OECD TG 421 Reproduction/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test Rat/Wistar (Hsd Han) Oral – gavage |

| Exposure Information | Exposure days: |
|----------------------|---|
| | Males: Days 1-14 pre-mating, during mating, and Days 1-14 post-mating |
| | Females: Days 1-14 pre-mating, during mating, during pregnancy and |
| | lactation Days 1-13 |
| Remarks – Method | GLP certificate |
| | No significant protocol variation |
| | |

RESULTS

| Group | Number and Sex of Animals | Dose (mg/kg bw/day) | Mortality |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| 1 (vehicle control) | 20 (10 M/10 F) | 0 | 0/20 |
| 2 | 20 (10 M/10 F) | 31.25 | 0/20 |
| 3 | 20 (10 M/10 F) | 62.5 | 0/20 |
| 4 | 20 (10 M/10 F) | 125 | 0/20 |

Mortality and Time to Death

No mortality was observed at all dose levels tested.

Effects on Parental (P) animals:

Systemic Toxicity

No treatment-related adverse effects were observed in females up to the highest dose tested.

At the highest dose level (125 mg/kg bw/day), decreased absolute and relative reticulocyte counts were observed in males. Decreased globulin concentration, body weight and thymus weight, and increased adrenal and kidney weights were observed. However, there were no microscopic changes observed in the kidney.

Reproductive Toxicity

No significant changes in the reproductive organs of males and females were observed.

For females treated at 125 mg/kg bw day, increased pre-implantation loss was observed, which resulted in reduced implantation index. Increased post-implantation loss was observed at the 62.5 and 125 mg/kw bw day dose groups, which resulted in a reduced mean litter size.

Effects on 1st Filial Generation (F1)

A reduction in the ano-genital distance was observed in female pups from the 31.25 mg/kg bw/day dose group and male pups from the 31.25 and 62.5 mg/kg bw/day dose groups. These changes were considered incidental by the study authors because of the lack of any dose relationship.

Survival rate of the pups was lower for all doses tested, due to cannibalism of pups by the dam from Days 1-4. No other developmental effects were noted in the surviving pups.

Remarks - Results

Increased consumption of water was observed in some animals. No significant changes were observed in mean body weight of the pups.

CONCLUSION

The No Observed Adverse Effect Levels (NOAELs) were established by the study authors as shown in the table.

| Toxicity | NOAEL (mg/kg bw/day) | Effect Observed at Higher Doses | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Male Systemic | 62.5 | Decreased reticulocyte count, decreased globulin | | | |
| | | concentration, decreased body weight, decreased thymus weight, increased adrenal weight and increased kidney weight | | | |
| Female Systemic | 125 | Highest dose tested, no treatment-related adverse effects | | | |
| Female Reproductive | 31.25 | Increased pre-implantation losses in the high dose group; increased post-implantation losses in the mid and high dose groups; reduced mean litter size in the mid and high dose groups | | | |
| | | | | | |

TEST FACILITY

Advinus (2016)

APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

C.1. Environmental Fate

C.1.1. Ready biodegradability

| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
|-----------------------|---|
| Method | OECD TG 301 B Ready Biodegradability: CO2 Evolution Test. |
| Inoculum | Activated sludge from a local STP |
| Exposure Period | 28 days |
| Auxiliary Solvent | None |
| Analytical Monitoring | CO_2 |
| Remarks - Method | No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported. |

RESULTS

| Test substance | | Sodium acetate | | |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| Day | % Degradation | Day | % Degradation | |
| 6 | 2 | 6 | 56 | |
| 14 | 3 | 14 | 72 | |
| 20 | 3 | 20 | 89 | |
| 28 | 0 | 28 | 83 | |

| Remarks - Results | All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The percentage degradation of the reference compound, sodium acetate surpassed the threshold level of 60% within 14 days indicating the suitability of the inoculums. The toxicity control exceeded 25% biodegradation after 14 days showing that toxicity was not a factor inhibiting the biodegradability of the test substance. The degree of degradation of the notified chemical after 28 days was 0-3%. |
|-------------------|--|
| CONCLUSION | The notified chemical is not readily biodegradable. |
| TEST FACILITY | Dr U Noack Laboratorium (2001a) |

C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations

C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
|-----------------------|---|
| Method | OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test - Static |
| | EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 C.1 Acute Toxicity for Fish - Static |
| Species | Brachydanio rerio |
| Exposure Period | 96 hours |
| Auxiliary Solvent | None |
| Water Hardness | 59 mg CaCO ₃ /L |
| Analytical Monitoring | Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) analyser |
| Remarks – Method | No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported. The test substance was weighed and directly added into the 15 L glass aquaria with 10 L of dilution water before the start of the experiment. |

RESULTS

| Concentration mg/L | | Number of Fish | Mortality (%) | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|------|------|------|------|
| Nominal | Actual | | 1 h | 24 h | 48 h | 72 h | 96 h |
| Control | Control | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.25 | Not determined | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12.5 | Not determined | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

_

| Concentration mg/L | | Number of Fish | Mortality (%) | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 25 | Not determined | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 50 | Not determined | 7 | 0 | 86 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 100 | Not determined | 7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| LC50 | | 14.5 mg/L at 96 hours (using Probit A | nalysis) | | | | |
| Remarks – R | esults | All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. | | | | | |
| CONCLUSION | | The notified chemical is harmful to fin | sh. | | | | |
| TEST FACILITY | | Dr U Noack Laboratorium (2001b) | | | | | |
| C.2.2. Acute top | xicity to aquatic in | vertebrates | | | | | |
| TEST SUBSTANCE | | Notified chemical | | | | | |
| Method | | OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test and Reproduction Test - Static | | | | | |
| Species | | Daphnia magna | | | | | |
| Exposure Per | riod | 48 hours | | | | | |
| Auxiliary Sol | lvent | None | | | | | |
| Water Hardn | ess | 269 mg CaCO ₃ /L | | | | | |
| Analytical M | onitoring | High Performance Liquid Chromat (HPLC-DAD) | tography | 7 – Di | ode Ar | ray De | tector |
| Remarks - Method | | No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported. The stock solution of 100 mg/L was freshly prepared and mixed well before the start of the exposure. | | | | | |

RESULTS

| Concentration mg/L | | Number of D. magna | Number Immobilised | |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| Nominal | Actual | | 24 h | 48 h |
| Control | $< LOQ^*$ | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 | 101 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

*Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) of 0.2 mg/L.

| LC50 | > 100 mg/L at 48 hours |
|-------------------|--|
| Remarks - Results | All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. |
| Conclusion | The notified chemical is not harmful to aquatic invertebrates. |
| TEST FACILITY | Dr U Noack Laboratorium (2015a) |
| | |

C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Method | OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test | | | |
| | EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 C.3 Algal Inhibition Test | | | |
| Species | Desmodesmus subspicatus | | | |
| Exposure Period | 72 hours | | | |
| Concentration Range | Nominal: 0.12, 0.38, 1.20, 3.20, 12.0, 38.0, 120 mg/L | | | |
| - | Actual: 0.129, 0.388, 1.20, 3.89, 12.2, 38.9, 121 mg/L | | | |
| Auxiliary Solvent | None | | | |
| Water Hardness | 0.24 mmol Ca+Mg/L (nominal) | | | |
| Analytical Monitoring | HPLC-DAD | | | |
| Remarks - Method | No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported. The stock solution of 120 mg/L was freshly prepared with dilution water before the | | | |

start of the experiment.

RESULTS

| Biomass | | Growth | | | | |
|--|---|--|------|--|--|--|
| EC50 | NOEC | EC50 | NOEC | | | |
| mg/L at 72 h | mg/L | mg/L at 72 h | mg/L | | | |
| 34.3 | 12.0 | 16.9 | 3.80 | | | |
| Remarks - Results | All validity criter | ia for the test were satisfied. | | | | |
| CONCLUSION | The notified chemical is harmful to alga. | | | | | |
| TEST FACILITY | Dr U Noack Laboratorium (2015b) | | | | | |
| C.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity | | | | | | |
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical | 1 | | | | |
| METHOD Inoculum Exposure Period Concentration Range Remarks – Method | OECD TG 209 Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test Activated sludge from a local STP 3 hours Nominal: 10, 32, 100, 320, 1000 mg/L No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported. The test substance was weighed out directly in Erlenmeyer flasks before the start of the experiment. | | | | | |
| RESULTS IC50 | > 1,000 mg/L | | | | | |
| Remarks – Results | All validity criter | ia for the test were satisfied. | | | | |
| CONCLUSION | The notified chen | e notified chemical does not inhibit microbial activity. | | | | |
| TEST FACILITY | Dr U Noack Labo | pratorium (2015c) | | | | |

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ACD/Labs (2017) I-Lab 2.0 ilab.acdlabs.com, Algorithm version: v5.0.0.184 (accessed on 30th March 2017). ACD/Labs.
- Advinus (2014) [Notified chemical]: Acute dermal toxicity study in Wistar Rats (Study No. G9833, December 2014). Bangalore, India, Advinus Therapeutics Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Advinus (2015a) [Notified chemical]: 14-Day repeated dose toxicity study by oral gavage in Wistar Rats (Study No. G9836, February 2015). Bangalore, India, Advinus Therapeutics Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Advinus (2015b) [Notified chemical]: 28-Day oral toxicity study in Wistar Rats followed by 14-day recovery period (Study No. G9837, October 2015). Bangalore, India, Advinus Therapeutics Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Advinus (2015c) [Notified chemical]: *in vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration test in CHO cells (Study No. G9834, January 2015). Bangalore, India, Advinus Therapeutics Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Advinus (2015d) [Notified chemical]: *in vitro* mammalian gene mutation test in CHO cells (Study No. G9835, January 2015). Bangalore, India, Advinus Therapeutics Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Advinus (2016) Reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test of [notified chemical] in Wistar rats by oral gavage (Study No. G9838, December 2016). Bangalore, India, Advinus Therapeutics Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- CERI (2007) Mutagenicity test of [notified chemical] using microorganisms (Report No. T-5609). Hita, Japan, Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Clariant (1985a) Untersuchung der akuten oralen Toxizitat unterschiedlich hoher Einmaldosen des [notified chemical] bei der Ratte (RBM-Gutachten NR. 2165, July 1985). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier in German with English translation in IUCLID).
- Clariant (1985b) Prufung der pimaren Hautreizung nach einmaliger epikutaner Applikation des [notified chemical] beim New Zealand White-Kaninchen (RBM-Gutachten NR. 2164, July 1985). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier in German with English translation in IUCLID).
- Clariant (1985c) Prufung der Augenreizung nach intraokularer Applikation einer Einzeldosis des [notified chemical] beim New Zealand White-Kaninchen (RBM-Gutachten NR. 2163, July 1985). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier in German with English translation in IUCLID).
- Clariant (2014a) [Notified chemical] Melting Point (Report No. 14-163043-2, September 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Clariant (2014b) [Notified chemical] Boiling Point State of a Substance (Report No. 14-163043-1, September 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Clariant (2014c) [Notified chemical] Density (Report No. 14-163043-3, September 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Clariant (2014d) [Notified chemical] Calculated property: Vapour pressure (Report No. 14-163043-6, September 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Clariant (2014e) [Notified chemical] Water Solubility (Report No. 14-174153-1, September 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Clariant (2014f) [Notified chemical] Solubility in n-Octonal (Report No. 14-174153-4, September 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Clariant (2014g) [Notified chemical] Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water): Shake Flask Method (Report No. 14-174153-2, September 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).

- Clariant (2014h) [Notified chemical] Surface Tension (Report No. 14-163043.4, September 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Clariant (2014i) [Notified chemical] Granulometry (Report No. 14-163043-5, September 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Clariant (2015) [Notified chemical] Hydrolysis as a Function of pH (Report No. 15-190587, July 2015). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Clariant Produkte (Deutschland) GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Dr U Noack Laboratorium (2001a) [Notified chemical] Ready Biodegradability Modified Sturm Test (Study No. AST77551, March, 2001). Sarstedt, Germany, Dr U Noack Laboratorium Für Angewandte Biologie, (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Dr U Noack Laboratorium (2001b) [Notified chemical] Zebra Fish Acute Toxicity Test, Static, 96 Hours (Study No. FAZ77551, January, 2001). Sarstedt, Germany, Dr U Noack Laboratorium Für Angewandte Biologie, (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Dr U Noack Laboratorium (2015a) [Notified chemical] Acute Immobilisation Test to Daphnia magna, Static, 48 Hours, Limit-Test (Study ID 141022CB/DAI16284, September, 2015). Sarstedt, Germany, Dr U Noack Laboratorium Für Angewandte Biologie, (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Dr U Noack Laboratorium (2015b) [Notified chemical] Alga, Growth Inhibition Test with *Desmodesmus* subspicatus, 72 Hours (Study ID 141022CB/SSO16284, September, 2015). Sarstedt, Germany, Dr U Noack Laboratorium Für Angewandte Biologie, (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Dr U Noack Laboratorium (2015c) [Notified chemical] Respiration Inhibition Test with Activated Sludge (Study ID 141022CB/BBR16284, March, 2015). Sarstedt, Germany, Dr U Noack Laboratorium Für Angewandte Biologie, (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- NTC (2017) Australian Code for the Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road and Rail (ADG code), Edition 7.5, National Transport Commission, Commonwealth of Australia.
- Siemens AG (2014) [Notified chemical] Flammability (Solids) N.1. & A.10 (Report No. 20140212.01, July 2014). Frankfurt am main, Germany, Siemens AG (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- SWA (2012) Code of Practice: Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace, Safe Work Australia, https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/system/files/documents/1702/managing_risks_of_hazardous_chemical s2.pdf
- United Nations (2009) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), 3rd revised edition. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html.
- US EPA (2012) Estimation Programs Interface (EPI) SuiteTM for Microsoft® Windows, v 4.10. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Washington DC, USA.
- WIL (2014) Assessment of contact hypersensitivity to [notified chemical] in the Albino Guinea Pig (Maximisation-Test) (Project 506717, November 2014). 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, WIL Research Europe B.V. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).